IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

ANDY MARTIN,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANIEL AKERSON, CHARLENE
BARSHEFSKY, URSULA BURNS,
KENNETH CHENAULT, PETER
CHERNIN, JAN LESCHLY, RICHARD C.
LEVIN, RICHARD A. MCGINN,
EDWARD D. MILLER, STEVEN S.
REINEMUND, ROBERT D. WALTER,
RONALD A. WILLIAMS, "MR.
GUNNING," JUDY BISGARD,
AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION
BANK, AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK,
FSB,

Defendants.

FILED: JULY 3, 2008 08CV3812 JUDGE PALLMEYER MAGISTRATE JUDGE KEYS AEE

JOINT NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441, and 1446, Defendants Daniel Akerson, Charlene Barshefsky, Ursula Burns, Kenneth Chenault, Peter Chernin, Jan Leschly, Richard C. Levin, Richard A. McGinn, Edward D. Miller, Steven S. Reinemund, Robert D. Walter, Ronald A. Williams, Vaughn Gunning (improperly named as "Mr. Gunning"), Judy Bisgard, American Express Centurion Bank, and American Express Bank, FSB (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, jointly hereby give notice of the removal of the above-captioned action to this Court. In support of this Notice of Removal, Defendants state as follows:

- 1. On June 5, 2008, Plaintiff filed a Complaint in the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit In And For Dupage County, Illinois, titled *Andy Martin v. Daniel Akerson, et al.*, 2008-CH-002123 ("State Court Action"), raising claims relating to the alleged suspension of an account of Plaintiff.
- 2. A true and correct copy of the Complaint and all records and proceedings filed in the State Court Action are attached hereto as Exhibit A. A true and correct copy of the Docket Sheet in the State Court Action as of June 25, 2008, is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 3. Plaintiff is an individual who according to the Complaint is "based in Illinois, Florida, New York and worldwide." Complaint at ¶ 1(b).
- 4. Defendants Daniel Akerson, Charlene Barshefsky, Ursula Burns, Kenneth Chenault, Peter Chernin, Jan Leschly, Richard C. Levin, Richard A. McGinn, Edward D. Miller, Steven S. Reinemund, Robert D. Walter, and Ronald A. Williams comprise the Board of Directors of American Express Company.
- 5. Defendants Vaughn Gunning (improperly named in the Complaint as "Mr. Gunning") and Judy Bisgard are employees of a wholly-owned subsidiary of American Express Company.
- 6. Defendant American Express Centurion Bank is an industrial loan company and defendant American Express Bank, FSB is federal savings bank, both of which are indirect wholly owned subsidiaries of American Express Company.
- 7. This Notice of Removal is timely filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) as it is filed "within thirty days after receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the claim upon which such action or

proceeding is based." On or about June 5, 2008, Plaintiff sent via facsimile a copy of the Summons and Complaint to a wholly owned subsidiary of American Express Company.

- 8. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 as the Complaint raises claims that arise under the laws of the United States. Specifically, the Complaint asserts a claim under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.; the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692-1692p; the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1691 et seq.; and the Fair Credit Billing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601. Plaintiff's Complaint thus raises alleged violations of "federal law" and "federal consumer protection statutes." Complaint at Count Three, ¶ 3.
- 9. Venue is proper in this Court because the action is being removed from the Circuit Court of DuPage County, Illinois.
- 10. This Court further has jurisdiction because the State Court Action appears to violate a permanent injunction issued by a United States District Court. The terms of the permanent injunction are set forth at *In re Martin-Trigona*, 592 F. Supp. 1566 (D. Conn. 1984), aff'd, 763 F.2d 140 (2d Cir. 1985), cert. denied, 474 U.S. 1061 (1986). The injunction requires that Plaintiff attach to any document that commences a new lawsuit in state court:

a statement entitled "Informational Statement Concerning Litigation History of Anthony R. Martin-Trigona, Pursuant to Court Orders," whose text shall read as follows: "Pursuant to order of the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, this tribunal is respectfully referred to the litigation history of Anthony R. Martin-Trigona, described in *In re Martin-Trigona*, 573 F. Supp. 1245 (D. Conn. 1983), and *In re Martin-Trigona*, 737 F.2d 1254 (2d Cir. 1984), copies of which are attached hereto for ease of reference." To this statement shall be attached the following material: (a) a copy of the opinion of this court published at 573 F. Supp. 1245 (D. Conn. 1983), with all appendices; (b) a copy of the opinion of the Court of Appeals in In re Martin-Trigona, 737 F.2d 1254 (2d Cir. 1984), with all appendices; and (c) a copy of this order, In re Martin-Trigona, 592 F. Supp. 1566 (D. Conn. 1984), with appendices.

In re Martin-Trigona, 592 F. Supp. at 1573. Plaintiff failed to comply with these requirements. See Exhibit A.

- 11. Federal courts repeatedly have dismissed lawsuits filed in violation of the federal permanent injunction, including this Court's recent dismissal of an action filed by Plaintiff. See Andy Martin v. David Brock, et al., Case No. 07-C-3154, United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois (Manning, J.), Docket Entries 16 and 24.
- 12. Written notice of filing of this Notice of Removal is being given to Plaintiff, and a copy is being filed in the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit in and for DuPage County, Illinois, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- 13. All defendants named in this action join in this removal petition and thus jointly hereby seek removal of the State Court Action to this Court.

WHEREFORE, Defendants hereby remove the above-captioned matter from the Circuit Court of DuPage County to this Court.

> AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK, AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB, DANIEL AKERSON, et al., **Defendants**

By: /s/ Ruth A. Bahe-Jachna One of Their Attorneys

Ruth A. Bahe-Jachna Greenberg Traurig, LLP 77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 2500 Chicago, IL 60601 (p) (312) 456-8400 (f) (312) 456-8435 baher@gtlaw.com

Louis Smith Greenberg Traurig, LLP 200 Park Avenue, P.O. Box 677 Florham Park, New Jersey 07932-0677 (p) (973) 360-7900 (f) (973) 301-8410 smithlo@gtlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants

Dated: July 3, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Ruth A. Bahe-Jachna, an attorney, hereby certify that I caused a copy of the foregoing JOINT NOTICE OF REMOVAL to be served by email and by placing a true and correct copy in an envelope, with proper postage pre-paid, and addressed to:

> Andy Martin 30 E. Huron St. Suite 4406 Chicago, IL 60611-4723

e-mail: andymart20@aol.com

and depositing same in the U.S. mail at 77 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601, before the hour of 5:00 p.m.

> /s/ Ruth A. Bahe-Jachna Ruth A. Bahe-Jachna

NJ 226425477v2 July 3, 2008

08CV3812 Case 1:08-cv-03812 Document 1-2 Filed 07/03/2008 Page 1 of 14 **JUDGE PALLMEYER**

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KEYS AEE

EXHIBIT A

DV. E.S.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

2008CH002123

Status Date: 10/02/08

Assigned To: 2005

Jun 05 2005 - 10:20 RM

Thus Kalu

CLERK OF THE BATH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DU PAGE COUNTY ILLINOIS

IN CHANCERY

ANDY MARTIN.

MAGED

STOCK CONTRACTORS

Plaintiff,

DANIEL AKERSON, CHARLENE BARSHEFSKY, URSULA BURNS, KENNETH CHENAULT, PETER CHERNIN, JAN LESCHLY, RICHARD C. LEVIN, RICHARD A. MCGINN, EDWARD D. MILLER, STEVEN S. REINEMUND, ROBERT D. WALTER, RONALD A WILLIAMS, "MR. GUNNING," JUDY BISGARD, AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK, AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK, FSB,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Preliminary Statement

Over the past twenty years consumer credit and credit card companies have sought to bar customer access to the judicial process. Instead, mega-corporations have sought to impose burdensome and complex arbitration procedures on their

2

customers. Congress has been virtually bribed to allow this unconscionable and abusive trend.

Congress has, however, developed an alternative series of consumer protection statutes: The Fair Credit Billing Act, the Fair Debt Collection Procedures Act, The Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Credit Reporting Act. Sadly, the American Express Company and its management appear to have developed systematic procedures to violate and ignore congressional enactments.

.Usually, big companies such as American Express can "beat up" on their customers and customers lack the energy or resources to fight back. In this case, AmEx picked on a customer who had the means and experience to fight back. On information and belief this is the first state court to obtain jurisdiction over a lawsuit involving the mandatory arbitration provisions of AmEx's customer contract. Thus, this Court's decisions will lay the foundations for important new nationwide legal principles.

[COUNT ONE]

[ACTION TO INVALIDATE ARBITRATION CLAUSE OR COMPEL ARBITRATION]

1. Jurisdiction and venue

a. This court has general common law jurisdiction to hear and determine the matters presented.

MAGED 20080605

b. Venue is proper in DuPage County. Plaintiff is based in Illinois, Florida, New York and worldwide, see AndyMartinWorldwide.com.

2. Factual allegations

a. The parties

- A. Plaintiff has been a consumer advocate and public interest lawyer, although not a member of the Illinois Bar, who has exposed and fought corruption in Illinois politics and nationally for over forty years. Most recently, Plaintiff was a reform candidate for U. S. Senator. Plaintiff has been involved in important landmark consumer protection litigation, see Andymartin.com for a listing of important consumer precedents set by Plaintiff.
- B. Defendants Akerson, Barshefsky, Burns, Chenault, Chernin, Leschly, Levin, McGinn, Miller, Reinemund, Walter and Williams are the senior managers of Amex.
- C. Defendants "Gunning" (which may be a fictitious name used in violation of federal law) and "Bisgard" (which may also be a fictitious name used in violation of federal law) are allegedly AmEx employees in Arizona.
- D. Defendants American Express Centurion Bank and American Express Bank are names disclosed by the defendants in the retaliation notices which they sent to Plaintiff on May 23, 2008 as "creditors" of Plaintiff.

IMAGUD

200000000

b. The Facts Giving Rise to This Lawsuit

- A. Plaintiff received a call from Gunning on or about May 13, 2008.
- B. Gunning claimed that one of Plaintiff's accounts was \$21.14 past due, and that Gunning had suspended the account. Plaintiff was probably \$21.14 past due, as he has been somewhat slow to write checks during his most recent period of political activity.
- C. When Plaintiff protested, Gunning said words to the effect of "then I'll cancel that account as well," and cancelled all of Plaintiff's accounts. Plaintiff has demanded a copy of the tape recording of the conversation, but defendants have refused to date to produce the tape between Gunning and Plaintiff.
- D. Plaintiff uses Amex for automatic monthly billings on routine repetitive consumer transactions. The cancellation of Plaintiff's account has caused chaos and disruption, and required Plaintiff to redo accounts.
- E. Plaintiff wrote to Bisgard and Chenault on May 13, and again on May 20, 2008. Bisgard and Chenault ignored Plaintiff's letters, thereby forcing the commencement of this lawsuit.
- F. Plaintiff offered to pay his disputed balance into this Court's registry account, so that there would be no question over Plaintiff's ability and willingness to pay.

Bisgard ignored that offer and sent Plaintiff a computer message threatening to destroy Plaintiff's credit reputation.

- G. American Express and its majordomo mandarin Chenault and his "board" of corporate cronies apparently do not respond to business letters. They require a lawsuit to be filed obtain their attention.
- H. AmEx as imposed arbitration provisions on in its customers to deny them access to judicial remedies.
- I. Plaintiff invoked the arbitration provisions of the contract but AmEx and its employees have ignored the remedial provisions of their own contract.

3. Legal claim

- a. Plaintiff invoked the arbitration clause prepared by AmEx, by sending demands to Chenault and Bisgard (a copy of the Chenault letter).
- b. Chenault, Bisgard and the Amex Corporation have ignored and violated their own contract and refused to engage in arbitration over this dispute.
- c. In effect, AmEx has imposed an arbitration procedure on its customers as a form of in terrorem corporate intimidation, ignoring the contractual procedure when it is invoked by customers such as Plaintiff and hoping they will "go away."

4. Demand for judgment

Plaintiff sues and demands judgment as follows:

- a. Declaratory and injunctive relief as may be necessary to do complete justice between the parties.
- b. Ancillary money damages in an amount not to exceed \$60,000 on all counts, with the total recovery in this action limited to \$60,000.
- c. Plaintiff sues, in the alternative, as to the arbitration clause of the contract between the parties. Plaintiff asks the Court to invalidate AmEx's arbitration clause so this lawsuit can proceed. Plaintiff believes mandatory arbitration clauses in consumer contracts reflect contracts of adhesion and are contrary to federal and state public policy. In the alternative, if the court upholds the arbitration clause, Plaintiff asks the court to compel the defendants to proceed with arbitration and to reinstate his accounts pendente lite.

[COUNT TWO]

[BREACH OF THE COMMON LAW COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH]

1-2. Plaintiff repeats and realleges Paragraphs 1-2 of Count One and further pleads:

3. <u>Legal</u> claim

- a. The common law of Illinois and New York implies a covenant of good faith and fair dealing in every contact.
- b. The actions of Gunning, Bisgard, Chenault and the senior management of Amex reflect arrogance and contempt for customers.

c. Calling a customer and saying you have suspended his account because he is \$21.14 past due, and then retaliating when the customer exercises his federally protected rights and protests, inflicting unnecessary chaos and disruption on the customer, reflect a gross absence of good faith and a clear violation of the common law covenant.

4. Demand for judgment

Plaintiff sues and demands judgment as follows:

- a. Declaratory and injunctive relief as may be necessary to do complete justice between the parties.
- b. Ancillary money damages in an amount not to exceed \$60,000 on all counts, with the total recovery in this action limited to \$60,000.

[COUNT THREE]

[BREACH OF FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT, FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PROCEDURES ACT, EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT, FAIR CREDIT BILLING ACT)

1-2. Plaintiff repeats and realleges Paragraphs 1-2 of Count One and further pleads:

3. Legal claim

a. Congress has enacted a comprehensive series of federal consumer protection laws. Those laws bar retaliation for the invocation and exercise of consumer rights. The tape recording of the conversation between Gunning and Plaintiff will establish that Gunning called claiming he had suspended an

8

account for a \$21.24 past due amount. That was an obviously unbelievable claim.

- b. It appears that Amex had obtained credit information about Plaintiff surreptitiously, in violation of federal law, and has to date failed to comply with federal law. AmEx appears to have systematic policies and procedures in place to knowingly and intentionally violate federal law in the manner in which Plaintiff was attacked and terrorized.
- c. When Plaintiff exercised his rights pursuant to federal law to protest, Gunning immediately retaliated by canceling all of Plaintiff's accounts. Such retaliation is prohibited by and violated federal law. The defendants have failed to train and properly supervise Bisgard and Gunning to obey and comply with federal consumer protection statutes.

4. Demand for judgment

Plaintiff sues and demands judgment as follows:

- a. Declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to federal law as may be necessary to do complete justice between the parties.
- b. Ancillary money damages in an amount not to exceed \$60,000 on all counts, with the total recovery in this action limited to \$60,000.

Dated: June 5, 2008

9

Respectfully submitted,

いの日のこのこの

ANDY MARTIN
SERVICE OF NOTICES IS RESPECTFULLY
REQUESTED BY FAX OR E-MAIL
30 E. Huron St., Suite 4406
Chicago, IL 60611-4723,
and

P. O. Box 1851
New York, NY 10150-1851
(please serve both addresses)
Toll-free tel. (866) 706-2639
Toll-free fax (866) 707-2639
E-mail: andymart20@aol.com (text only)

CIRCUIT COURT SUMMONS		3101(Rev. 07705)		
111	NITED STATES OF AMERICA WIRT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICI	AL CIRCUIT		
ANDY MARTIN, PLAINTEP VS. KENNETH CHENAULT, AMÉRICAN EXPRESS COMPANY, et al., DEFENDANT	CASE NUMBER 2008CH002123	Filo Atomo Haro		
	J			
To each defendant:	summons	1		
You are summoned and required to file an answer to the complaint in this case, a copy of which is hereto attached, or otherwise file your appearance in the office of the Clerk of this Court, 505 North County Farm Road, Wheaton, Illinois within 30 days after the service of this summons, not counting the day of service. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF ASKED IN THE COMPLAINT. To the officer: This summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of services and fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this summons shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date.				
		tOUBAS, Clerk of the Eighteenth ourt, and seal thoroof at Wheaton,		
	Data Clerk of	the Eighteenth Juliefal Circuit		
Name: Andy Martin	🗵 PRO SE			
DuPage Attorney Number:				
Attorney for; Pro Se		g of an eppearance or answer with the		
Address: 30 E. Huron Street, Bulte 440		c requires a statutory filing fee, payable		
City/State/Zip; Chicago, IL 60611-4723	<u> </u>			
Telephone: (856) 706-2639; Fax (855)	767.7836 Petr of Service:	ros copy left with Defendant or other person.)		

CHRIS KACHIROUBAS, CLERK OF THE 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT © WHYATON, ILLINOIS 60189-0707

CIRCUIT COURT SUMMONS	3101(144.41147)		
STATE OF ILLINOIS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE E	F AMERICA CHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		
VS. KENNETH CHENAULT, AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY, et al., DEFENDANT To onch defendant: You are summoused and required to file an answer to the con-	aplaint in this case, a copy of which is herote attached, or		
otherwise file your appearance in the office of the Clerk of this Court, 505 North County Farm Road, Wheaton, Illinois within 30 days after the service of this summons, not counting the day of service. IF YOU KAIL TO BO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF ASKED IN THE COMPLAINT. To the officer: This summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of services said fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this summons shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date.			
WITNESS	CHRIS KACHTROUBAS, Clerk of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Court, and sond thereof at Wheaton, Illinois. Date UN - 5 2008 Light of the Eighteenth Light acceptance DESCRIPTION CLERK		
Name: Andy Martin DuPage Attorney Number: Attorney for: Pro Se Address: 30 E. Huron Street, Suite 4408 City/State/Zip: Chicago, IL 60611-4723 Telephone: (888) 706-2639; Fax (888) 707-2639	NOTICE: The filing of an appearance or answer with the Circuit Court Clerk requires a statutory filing fee, payable at the time of filing. Date of Service: (To be intered by officer on copy is a with Cafendani or other person.)		

CIRCUIT COURT SUMMONS	2101/1001			
STATE OF ILLINOIS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIG	AMERICA HTEENTH JUDIOIAL CIRCUIT			
ANDY MARTIN.	NUMBER			
SUMMONS To each defendent: You are summoned and required to file an answer to the complaint in this case, a copy of which is hereto attached, or otherwise file your appearance in the office of the Clerk of this Court, 505 North County Farm Road, Wheaton, Illinois within 30 days after the service of this summons, not counting the day of service. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, A JUDGMENT BY DERAULT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU FOR THE RELIEF ASKED IN THE COMPLAINT. To the officer: This summons must be returned by the officer or other person to whom it was given for service, with endorsement of services and fees, if any, immediately after service. If service cannot be made, this summons shall be returned so endorsed. This summons may not be served later than 30 days after its date.				
WITNESS:	CHRIS KACHIROUBAS, Clerk of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit Court, and sent thereof at Wheaton, Illinois. Date FUN - 5 2008 Task. as A.			
Name: Andy Mertin	NOTICE: The filing of an appearance or answer with the Circuit Court Clerk requires a statutory filing fee, payable at the time of filing. Data of Service: (To be inserted by efficient as popy left with Defendant or other person.)			

CHRIS KACHIROUBAS, CLERK OF THE 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT & WHEATON, ILLINOIS 60189-0707

CHRIS KACHIROUBAS, CLERK OF THE 18TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT @ WHEATON, ILLINOIS 60189-0707

City/State/Zip: Chicago, IL 60811-4723 Telephone: (866) 706-2639; Fax (866) 707-2639 at the time of filing.

Date of Service:

(To be intered by officer on copy left with Defendant or other person.)

O8CV3812 Case 1:08-cv-03812 Document 1-3 Filed 07/03/2008 Page 1 of 5

JUDGE PALLMEYER

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KEYS

AEE

EXHIBIT B

18th Judical Circuit Court Court File History

Run Date 06/25/2008

Page 1

Docket of CourtFile 2008CH002123

Initiating Agency: CLERKS OFFICE Case Title: ANDY MARTIN - VS- DANIEL AKERSON Assigned Location: 2005 Legal Status: ACTIVE I

-Parties

Name: KENNETH CHENAULT Role: DEFENDANT

Name: CHARLENE BARSHEFSKY Role: DEFENDANT

Name: PETER CHERNIN Role: DEFENDANT Name: URSULA BURNS Role: DEFENDANT

Name: JAN LESCHLY Role: DEFENDANT

Name: RICKARD CLEVIN Role: DEFENDANT

Name: RICHARD A MCGINN Role: DEFENDANT

Name: EDWARD D MILLER Role: DEFENDANT

Name: STEVEN S REINEMUND Role: DEFENDANT

Name: RONALD A WILLIAMS
Role: DEFENDANT

Name: ROBERT D WALTER Role: DEFENDANT

Run Date 06/25/2008

Docket of CourtFile 2008CH002123 18th Judical Circuit Court Court File History

> Name: AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK FSB Role: DEFENDANT

Name: JUDY BISGARD Role: DEFENDANT

Name: MR GUNNING GUNNING Role: DEFENDANT

Name: AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK Role: DEFENDANT

Name: DANIEL AKERSON Role: FIRST-NAMED DEFENDANT

Name: ANDY MARTIN Role: FIRST-NAMED PLAINTIFF

Name: ANDY MARTIN Role: PRO SE ATTORNEY Address: 30 E. HURON ST, SUITE 4406 CHICAGO IL 60611

Count Number: 0001

Type of Case: CH0121 INJUNCTIONS (EXCEPT IN TAX & DIVORCE CASES) Issuing Agency: CLERKS OFFICE Status: ACTIVE Type of Case: CH0121

FIRST-NAMED PLAINTIFF First Name: ANDY 06/05/2008 10200

Last Name: MARTIN FIRST-NAMED DEFENDANT 06/05/2008 10100

Last Name: AKERSON First Name: DANIEL

ADDRESS 06/05/2008 10010

Address Type: HOME Address Line 1: 30 E. HURON ST, SUITE 4406 Last Name: MARTIN First Name: ANDY Zip Code: 60611

State: IL

City: CHICAGO

Country Code: USA PRO SE ATTORNEY 06/05/2008 10330 1 First Name: ANDY

Last Name: MARTIN

Page 2

18th Judical Circuit Court Court File History

Run Date 06/25/2008

Docket of CourtFile 2008CH002123

```
ORIGINAL LOCATION ASSIGNMENT
CIVIL COUNT
06/05/2008 700210
```

New Location: 2005

APPEARANCE

Last Name: MARTIN Total Assessment Amount: \$175.00 For: ANDY MARTIN First Name: ANDY

NEW CASE COMPLAINT/PETITION CIVIL CASE FILING ASSESSMENT STATUS DATE

06/05/2008 4181 06/05/2008 110

Court Time: 09:05 AM Purpose Code: STATUS Court Date: 10/02/2008 Court Location: 2005 405/2008 6610 SUMMONS ISSUED 405/2008 6610 SUMMONS ISSUED 405/2008 9601 CIVIL FUND RECEIVED 06/05/2008 6610

06/05/2008 6610

Allocated Amount: 1096 8002/50/90

\$290.00 APPLICATION OF FUND 06/05/2008 9700

06/05/2008 6610

 05/2008
 9700
 APPLICATION OF FUND

 05/2008
 6610
 SUMMONS ISSUED

 05/2008
 6610
 SUMMONS ISSUED

 05/2008
 10110
 DEFENDANT

 First Name: CHARLENE
 Last Name: BARSHEFSKY

 05/2008
 10110
 DEFENDANT
 06/05/2008 10110

06/05/2008 10110

Last Name: CHENAULT First Name: URSULA Last Name: BURNS 06/05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT First Name: KENNETH Last Name: CHEN DEFENDANT 06/05/2008 10110

Last Name: CHERNIN DEFENDANT
Last Name: LESCHLY First Name: PETER 06/05/2008 10110 1

05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT First Name: RICHARD Middle Name: C First Name: JAN 06/05/2008 10110

Last Name: MCGINN Last Name: LEVIN 05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT First Name: RICHARD Middle Name: A 05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT 06/05/2008 10110

Last Name: MILLER First Name: EDWARD Middle Name: D DEFENDANT 06/05/2008 10110 06/05/2008 10110

Last Name: REINEMUND Last Name: WALTER Middle Name: D First Name: STEVEN Middle Name: S First Name: ROBERT 06/05/2008 10110

DEFENDANT

18th Judical Circuit Court Court File History

Docket of CourtFile 2008CH002123

Run Date 06/25/2008

First Name: RONALD Middle Name: A Last Name: WILLIAMS 06/05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT

Name Prefix: MR First Name: GUNNING Last Name: GUNNING 06/05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT First Name: JUDY Last Name: BISGARD 06/05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT Last Name: AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION BANK 06/05/2008 10110 DEFENDANT Last Name: AMERICAN EXPRESS BANK FSB